

RECEIVED ON: August 16, 2024

From: [Mary S](#)
To: [Rigo Garcia](#)
Subject: Fwd: Study Session Agenda
Date: Friday, August 16, 2024 9:19:53 PM

[**NOTICE:** This message originated outside of City of Whittier -- **DO NOT CLICK** on **links** or open **attachments** unless you are sure the content is safe.]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mary S** <gormansullens@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Aug 16, 2024 at 4:04 PM
Subject: Study Session Agenda
To: Cathy Warner <cathywarner@earthlink.net>, Mary Ann pacheco <mapacheco50@gmail.com>, Fernando Dutra <fdutrawcc@gmail.com>, <omartinez@cityofwhittier.org>

Hello all,

The council agenda for next Tuesday's Special meeting on the Greenleaf Promenade is now available. While the staff report mentions that "The consultant's team will provide an in-depth *presentation* on the 'Pedestrian Promenade Option,'" there is nothing in your attachments that provide either you or the public with any information about what is being "presented." This leaves both you, the decision-makers, as well as the public no opportunity to study or review what is being presented this Tuesday. We specifically asked if this was going to be the case, as council agendas usually have information that both you and the public can study over the weekend, prior to meetings. It does not look good.

If you will be receiving this information for the first time, without benefit of any opportunity to review prior to the meeting, then we are all at a disadvantage. At least you will be able to ask questions in the moment. The public will have only one minute to respond to whatever is presented afterwards, without having had any time to research for verification or concise comments.

Additionally, the only attachments are the old Slide Show and staff report from the June meeting. How does that help you understand the comparisons between the two plans?

Please clarify.

Respectfully,

Mary Gorman-Sullens

CC: CM; ACM; CA; Council; Original to CC; Public Binder; Department